1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15 16

18 19

17

20

2122

24

23

2526

27

28

The same of the sa

06 SEP 22 AM 9: 53

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

pn

DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

DAVID R. AYALA,

VS.

Respondent.

CASE NO. 06CV1474-H

ORDER DISMISSING SUCCESSIVE PETITION

On July 20, 2006, Petitioner David Ayala ("Petitioner") filed a request under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241 or 2255, which the Court construes as a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Petitioner was sentenced on September 23, 1991to 262 months in prison with a three-year term of supervised release. Petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on August 9, 1996. (Case No. 90cr1181, Doc. No. 372.) That petition was denied on November 12, 1996. (Id., Doc. No. 387.) Under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b), where a prior application for a writ of habeas corpus has been determined by a circuit or district judge of the United States, the petitioner must obtain permission from the court of appeals in the Circuit where the district court resides, before the district court may consider the application. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Section 2244 acts as a gatekeeping provision and imposes stringent restrictions on the filing of second or successive petitions. See Felker v. Turpin, 518 U.S. 651, 662-64 (1996). Thus, Petitioner must seek permission from the Court of

Clase 3:90-cr-01181-L Document 543 Filed 09/22/06 PageID.2610 Page 2 of 2